

ALOCHANA JOURNAL (ISSN NO:2231-6329) VOLUME 14 ISSUE 7 2025
**THE IMPACT OF PARENTAL WORK-LIFE BALANCE ON CHILD
DEVELOPMENT OUTCOMES**

Ms.N.Kowsalya, BE, MBA, Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Nandha Arts and Science College, Erode.

Dr.P.Mohanraj, MBA., M.COM., M.Phil., Ph.D., PGDCA., NET, Head & Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, Nandha Arts and Science College, Erode.

ABSTRACT

In today's fast-paced world, many parents are finding it harder to juggle the demands of their jobs with the needs of their families. This study looks closely at how this balancing act—known as work-life balance—affects the emotional, social, cognitive, and behavioural development of children aged 3 to 12. Based on insights from 150 working parents, the research uses surveys and statistical tools like percentage and Chi-square analysis to explore patterns and connections. The findings reveal that it's not just the type of work schedule that matters, but the quality of time parents spend with their children. Strong emotional bonds and meaningful interactions are key to raising emotionally secure and confident children. While many parents feel their jobs don't harm their relationship with their children, the data suggests that kids do notice when their parents aren't fully present. The study highlights the need for workplaces to be more family-friendly by offering flexible hours, remote work options, and emotional support systems. It also stresses the importance of building simple, consistent family routines and encouraging shared parenting responsibilities, especially in nuclear families or rural areas with limited support. Supporting parents in managing both their professional and family roles is essential for raising well-rounded, resilient children.

Keywords: Work-life balance, parent-child relationship, child development, emotional well-being, flexible work.

INTRODUCTION:

In the fast-changing socio-economic environment of the present day, the border between work and personal life becomes increasingly porous, particularly for working parents. The contemporary workplace characterized by long hours, rigid schedules, and intense performance expectations tends to conflict with the latter's duties of raising and nurturing children. With dual-income families on the rise, parents have a difficult time in juggling professional responsibilities with hands-on participation in their children's emotional, mental, and social growth. Work-family balance is not a luxury but an essential determinant of family well-being, and imbalance has been found to result in increased parental stress, diminished quality of family interactions, and adverse developmental outcomes for children. Children do well when parents are emotionally available and present but may be impaired by stress or absence from work. This research delves into the intricate relationship between the work-

life balance of parents and children's development, analyzing the impact of different family arrangements, work environments, and coping strategies on this relationship. The findings hope to educate policymakers, educators, and employers in creating supportive environments that balance productive work with healthy child-raising.

OBJECTIVES

1. To study how parents' work-life balance affects children's emotional, behavioral, cognitive, and social development.
2. To identify key factors like work schedules, family type, and support systems that influence parents' work-life balance.
3. To understand how parental stress and limited time impact parent-child relationships and family life.
4. To explore how differences in work-life balance relate to child development, considering age, income, education, and job type.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

1. Current work demands complicate maintaining a healthy work-family balance for parents.
2. Work-life imbalance causes stress, fatigue, and reduced quality time with children in parents, having an impact on family relations.
3. The development of children emotionally, socially, behaviourally, and cognitively may be impacted by decreased parental involvement and support.
4. There is a gap in research regarding how various work-life balance patterns between parents affect child development outcomes in varied family and socio-economic contexts.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

1. The research investigates the balance of work and home life among working parents and how this impacts their children's growth.
2. It addresses emotional, social, behavioural, and learning factors of children between 3 and 12 years.
3. It takes into account variables such as work time, flexibility at work, family structure, and stress among parents.
4. The research involves parents from various family structures, types of jobs, and salaries to develop diverse understanding.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. The research only considers parents with children between the ages of 3 and 12, not infants and teenagers whose development might be different.

2. Information is based on self-reported answers, which can harbor personal bias or errors.
3. The research targets a certain geographic or cultural environment, so the results might not be universally applicable.
4. It does not extensively examine long-term child development results across periods.
5. The influence of other external determinants such as school surroundings or peer pressure is not discussed in depth.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research is quantitative in its approach, focusing on the parent work-life balance and a child's emotional, behavioural, cognitive, and social development. The major data were collected through a structured questionnaire with close-ended questions only from 150 working parents having children in the age group of 3-12 years, selected at random from various occupational and family backgrounds.

Study and Setting: Purposive sampling was used in the recruitment of participants who were meeting the study requirements i.e., working parents who were engaged in professional and parenting life. Purposive sampling allowed for the selection of respondents with the appropriate experience and perspective.

Secondary Data: Besides primary data collection, the study made use of secondary data from credible sources such as academic journals, books, government documents, and reputable websites. The literature covered was on topics such as work-life balance, parent engagement, child development, and family life. Through the combination of the primary and secondary data, this study gives a general description of how parents' work-life balancing competence affects their upbringing of children.

STATISTICAL TOOLS

The data were presented in neat tables with necessary headnotes. Statistical techniques such as percentage analysis and Chi-square analysis were employed to better understand the information.

Hypothesis:

1. Work Schedule vs Parent-Child Relationship

- Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant association between work schedule and the quality of the parent-child relationship among working parents.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a significant association between work schedule and the quality of the parent-child relationship among working parents.

2. Parent-Child Relationship Quality vs. Child Development Outcomes

- Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no significant association between parent-child relationship quality and child development outcomes.

- Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a significant association between parent-child relationship quality and child development outcomes.

ANALYSIS AND INTREPRETATION

TABLE 1: RESPONDENT'S DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE	CLASSIFICATION	AVERAGE RESPONDENTS	PERCENTAGE (%)
Age Group	20–29 years	45	30
	30–39 years	38	25
	40–49 years	51	34
	50 years and above	16	11
Type of Family Structure	Nuclear	104	69
	Joint Family	46	31
Educational Background	High School Diploma	37	25
	Bachelor's Degree	67	45
	Master's Degree	33	22
	Doctoral Degree	13	9
Geographical Region	Rural	70	47
	Semi-urban	51	34
	Urban	29	19
Employment Status	Full-time	117	78
	Flexible/Remote	20	13
	Part-time	7	5
	Shift Work	6	4
Spouse's Employment Status	Full-time	93	62
	Flexible/Remote	34	23
	Part-time	13	9
	Shift Work	10	7
Working Hours / Shift Timing	Days	117	78
	Nights	3	2
	Swing	9	6
	Rotating	21	14
Monthly Family Income (Approximate Average)	₹10,000–₹20,000	17	11
	₹20,001–₹30,000	39	26
	₹30,001–₹40,000	57	38
	₹40,001–₹50,000	10	7
	₹50,001 and above	27	18

The majority of the participants are aged 30 to 49 years, so they are probably busy with work and bringing up children. They live in nuclear families; hence they have fewer domestic helpers at home. The majority has a bachelor's degree and originates from rural or semi-urban settings. Because the majority are full-time workers, they might feel stressed most of the time trying to balance work and family. Overall, these parents are balancing a lot, both on the job and at home.

TABLE 2: PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP & INTERACTION

PARENT-CHLD RELATIONSHIP & INTERACTION	CLASSIFICATION	AVERAGE RESPONDENTS	PERCENTAGE (%)
Frequency of engaging in recreational activities with children	Daily	64	43
	Several times a week	30	20
	Once a week	27	18

	Rarely	24	16
	Never	05	3
Impact of work schedule on parent-child relationship quality	Yes, positively	85	57
	Yes, negatively	21	14
	No impact	44	29
Child's concern about parent's time spent at work	Yes, often	41	27
	Sometimes	82	55
	Rarely	17	11
	Never	10	7

Many parents spend quality time with their children daily, though some struggle to do so regularly. Most parents feel their work schedule actually helps their relationship, but some see a negative impact. Children, however, often notice their parents' busy work lives, with many feeling concerned at times. Overall, while parents try to stay connected, balancing work and family time remains a challenge.

TABLE 3: PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP QUALITY

PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP QUALITY	CLASSIFICATION	AVERAGE RESPONDENTS	PERCENTAGE (%)
Quality time spent with child	Strongly Agree	21	14
	Agree	24	16
	Neutral	56	37
	Disagree	15	10
	Strongly Disagree	34	23
Child's comfort in communicating with parent	Strongly Agree	30	20
	Agree	33	22
	Neutral	35	23
	Disagree	43	29
	Strongly Disagree	09	6
Parental involvement in child's daily activities	Strongly Agree	25	17
	Agree	35	23
	Neutral	56	37
	Disagree	26	17
	Strongly Disagree	08	5
Display of physical affection toward child	Strongly Agree	30	20
	Agree	37	25
	Neutral	47	31
	Disagree	19	13
	Strongly Disagree	17	11
Perceived emotional support from parent	Strongly Agree	40	27
	Agree	26	17
	Neutral	51	34
	Disagree	21	14
	Strongly Disagree	12	8
Strength of parent-child bond	Strongly Agree	41	27
	Agree	32	21
	Neutral	44	29
	Disagree	14	9
	Strongly Disagree	19	13
Parental responsiveness to child's	Strongly Agree	35	23

needs	Agree	37	25
	Neutral	44	29
	Disagree	21	14
	Strongly Disagree	13	9
Level of trust child has in parent	Strongly Agree	42	28
	Agree	36	24
	Neutral	40	27
	Disagree	25	17
	Strongly Disagree	07	5

The parent-child relationship shows both strengths and gaps. Many parents provide emotional support, affection, and build trust, but quality time and open communication are lacking for some. A large number of parents and children feel neutral, showing room to improve daily involvement and connection. Overall, while the bond is present in many families, it could be stronger with more consistent time and communication.

TABLE 4: CHILD DEVELOPMENT OBSERVATIONS

CHILD DEVELOPMENT OBSERVATIONS	CLASSIFICATION	AVERAGE RESPONDENTS	PERCENTAGE (%)
Emotional stability of the child	Strongly Agree	18	12
	Agree	31	21
	Neutral	45	30
	Disagree	19	13
	Strongly Disagree	37	25
Child's ability to express feelings effectively	Strongly Agree	25	17
	Agree	44	29
	Neutral	41	27
	Disagree	29	19
	Strongly Disagree	11	7
Quality of child's peer relationships	Strongly Agree	23	15
	Agree	33	22
	Neutral	53	35
	Disagree	16	11
	Strongly Disagree	25	17
Child's independent problem-solving ability	Strongly Agree	21	14
	Agree	27	18
	Neutral	63	42
	Disagree	29	19
	Strongly Disagree	10	7
Child's interest in learning activities	Strongly Agree	33	22
	Agree	25	17
	Neutral	55	37
	Disagree	11	7
	Strongly Disagree	26	17
Child's ability to manage stress effectively	Strongly Agree	15	10
	Agree	28	19
	Neutral	56	37
	Disagree	36	24
	Strongly Disagree	15	10
Child's positive self-image	Strongly Agree	16	11
	Agree	37	25

Child's effectiveness in communicating with adults	Neutral	57	38
	Disagree	22	15
	Strongly Disagree	18	12
	Strongly Agree	28	19
	Agree	31	21
	Neutral	53	35
	Disagree	23	15
	Strongly Disagree	15	10

The data shows that some children are emotionally stable, expressive, and interested in learning, but many parents feel unsure about their child's development. Skills like managing stress, solving problems, and building friendships are present in some children but need improvement in others. Overall, while many children are growing well, there is still room to support their emotional, social, and learning needs.

TABLE 5: DEVELOPMENT RATINGS & PERCEPTIONS

DEVELOPMENT RATINGS & PERCEPTIONS	CLASSIFICATION	AVERAGE RESPONDENTS	PERCENTAGE (%)
Parental rating of child's cognitive development	Excellent	64	43
	Good	72	48
	Fair	12	8
	Poor	02	1
Parental assessment of child's social development	Excellent	52	35
	Good	88	59
	Fair	08	5
	Poor	01	1
Perceived impact of poor work-life balance on children's development	Decreased academic performance	41	27
	Increased emotional and behavioral problems	58	39
	Delayed cognitive development	19	13
	Weakened parent-child relationship	32	21

Parents mostly feel positive about their child's learning and social growth. However, they worry that poor work-life balance could lead to emotional issues, weaker bonds, and lower academic performance in children. This shows how important it is for parents to balance work and family time for their child's healthy development.

CHI SQUARE ANALYSIS

TABLE 6: ASSOCIATION BETWEEN WORK SCHEDULE AND PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP

Work Schedule and Parent-Child Relationship	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	No Impact	Total
Day	67	16	34	117
Night	1	2	0	3
Swing	5	1	3	9
Rotating	12	2	7	21
Total	85	21	44	150

Chi Square Test Factor	Calculated Value	Table Value	Degree of Freedom	Level of Significance
Association between Work Schedule and Parent-Child Relationship	6.304	9.488	6	5%

Since the calculated Chi-square value (6.304) is less than the table value (9.488), we fail to reject the null hypothesis. This means there is no significant association between Work Schedule and Parent-Child Relationship Impact at the 5% level of significance.

TABLE 7: ASSOCIATION BETWEEN QUALITY TIME WITH CHILD AND CHILD'S EMOTIONAL STABILITY

Association between quality time with child and child's emotional stability	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
Strongly Agree	8	5	2	0	6	21
Agree	3	12	7	2	0	24
Neutral	5	9	29	9	4	56
Disagree	1	2	4	4	4	15
Strongly Disagree	1	3	3	4	23	34
Total	18	31	45	19	37	150

Chi Square Test Factor	Calculated Value	Table Value	Degree of Freedom	Level of Significance
Association between Quality Time with Child and Child's Emotional Stability	89.72	26.30	16	5%

Since the calculated Chi-square value (89.72) is greater than the table value (26.30), we reject the null hypothesis. This means there is a significant association between Quality Time with Child and Child's Emotional Stability at the 5% level of significance. Parents who spend more quality time with their child are more likely to perceive their child as emotionally stable.

TABLE 8: ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE STRENGTH OF THE PARENT-CHILD BOND AND THE CHILD'S POSITIVE SELF-IMAGE

Association between the strength of the parent-child bond and the child's positive self-image	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
Strongly Agree	12	13	10	3	3	41
Agree	2	11	10	5	4	32
Neutral	0	8	27	6	3	44
Disagree	1	2	5	4	2	14
Strongly Disagree	1	3	5	4	6	19
Total	16	37	57	22	18	150

Chi Square Test Factor	Calculated Value	Table Value	Degree of Freedom	Level of Significance
Association between the parent-child bond and the child's positive self-image	44.49	26.30	16	5%

Since the calculated Chi-square value (44.49) is greater than the table value (26.30), we reject the null hypothesis. This means there is a significant association between the strength of the parent-child

bond and the child's positive self-image at the 5% level of significance. Parents who report a stronger bond with their child are more likely to perceive their child as having a positive self-image.

SUGGESTIONS

To truly support children's healthy development, we need to help working parents find better balance between their jobs and family life. Workplaces can play a big role by offering family-friendly options like flexible hours, remote work, and paid parental leave giving parents more time and space to be present with their children. Parents should also be encouraged to build simple, consistent routines that allow for meaningful moments whether it's playing together, talking, or just spending quiet time. It's equally important to raise awareness about how work-related stress can affect children emotionally and mentally. Providing access to counselling or support programs can help parents navigate these pressures. Special attention should be given to parents in nuclear families or rural and semi-urban areas, where support systems might be limited. Encouraging both parents to share responsibilities at home can ease stress and help create a more stable, nurturing environment for the child.

CONCLUSION

This study shows just how much a parent's ability to balance work and family life affects a child's emotional well-being, learning, and social growth. While many parents feel their work schedule doesn't harm their relationship with their child, the findings suggest that children do notice when their parents aren't fully available. What truly makes a difference is not the type of work schedule, but the quality of time and emotional connection parents share with their children. When that bond is strong, children are more likely to feel emotionally secure and confident. In the end, supporting parents in managing both their careers and family roles is key to raising well-rounded, resilient children who thrive both at home and in the world.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bhargava, D., & Baral, R. (2009). Work-life balance practices in India. *International Journal of Business Research*, 2(3), 139–148.
2. Sivaprasad, R. (2018). Work-life balance and stress among working women in IT sector. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, 5(4), 486–491. http://www.ijrar.org/viewfull.php?&p_id=IJRAR19K3581
3. Verma, R. K., & Mahendra, V. S. (2004). *Construction of masculinity in India: A study of young males in rural and urban settings*. Population Council India.
4. Sharma, R., & Sharma, P. (2016). Impact of working parents on psychological development of adolescents. *Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing*, 7(3), 327–330.

5. Ghosh, P., & Roy, D. (2021). Work-life balance and parental stress in dual-income Indian families: An empirical study. *Journal of Family Studies*, 27(4), 578–592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13229400.2021.1907745>
6. Sundaresan, S. (2014). Work-life balance – Implications for working women. *OIDA International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 7(7), 93–102.
7. Voydanoff, P. (2005). Toward a conceptualization of perceived work-family fit and balance: A demands and resources approach. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67(4), 822–836.
8. Waldfogel, J. (2006). What children need? Harvard University Press.
9. Greenhaus JH, Allen TD. Work–family balance: A review and extension of the literature. *J Manag.* 2011 Jan;37(1):10–38.
10. Kossek EE, Baltes BB, Matthews RA. How work–family research can finally have an impact in organizations. *Ind Organ Psychol.* 2011 Sep;4(3):352–69.
11. Allen TD, Herst DE, Bruck CS, Sutton M. Consequences associated with work-to-family conflict: a review and agenda for future research. *J Occup Health Psychol.* 2000 Apr;5(2):278–308.
12. Crnic KA, Low C. Everyday stresses and parenting. In: Bornstein MH, editor. *Handbook of Parenting*. 2nd ed. Mahwah (NJ): Erlbaum; 2002. p. 243–267.
13. Deater-Deckard K. *Parenting Stress*. New Haven (CT): Yale University Press; 2004.
14. Shockley KM, Shen W, DeNunzio MM, Arvan ML, Knudsen EA. Disentangling gender and work–family conflict: A meta-analytic study. *J Appl Psychol.* 2017 Dec;102(12):1601–35.
15. Dhar RL. Work-family conflict, family satisfaction and life satisfaction: A study of Indian women professionals. *J Indian Bus Res.* 2012;4(2):122–45.
16. Baral R, Bhargava S. HR interventions for work-life balance: evidences from organisations in India. *Int J Bus Humanit Technol.* 2010;1(2):33–41.
17. Sudha J, Karthikeyan P. Work life balance of women employee: A literature review. *Int J Manag Res Rev.* 2014 Aug;4(8):797–804.
18. Gupta R, Khandelwal U. Workplace stress and coping mechanism among working women in India. *Glob J Finance Manage.* 2013;5(2):155–64.
19. Singh A. Impact of workplace support on work-life balance: A study on Indian IT professionals. *J Manag Res.* 2017;17(3):157–68.
20. Nomaguchi K, Milkie MA. Parenthood and well-being: a decade in review. *J Marriage Fam.* 2020 Feb;82(1):198–223.
21. Masten AS. Ordinary magic: resilience processes in development. *Am Psychol.* 2001 Mar;56(3):227–38.
22. Desai S, Jain D. Maternal employment and time with children in India. *Popul Dev Rev.* 2007 Sep;33(4):665–84.
23. Lamb ME. *The role of the father in child development*. 5th ed. Hoboken (NJ): Wiley; 2010.

24. Van Steenberghe EF, Ellemers N. Is managing the work–family interface worthwhile? *J Organ Behav*. 2009 Jul;30(5):617–42.
25. Craig L, Churchill B. Dual-earner parents’ work and care during COVID-19. *Gend Work Organ* [Internet]. 2021 Jan [cited 2025 Jul 21];28(S1):66–79. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gwao.12497>
26. Kelly EL, Moen P, Oakes JM, Fan W, Okechukwu C, Davis KD, et al. Changing work and work-family conflict: evidence from the Work, Family, and Health Network. *Am Sociol Rev*. 2014 Jun;79(3):485–516.
27. Offer S, Schneider B. Revisiting the gender gap in multitasking: mothers and fathers in dual-earner families. *Am Sociol Rev*. 2011 Dec;76(6):809–33.
28. Williams JC, Berdahl JL, Vandello JA. Beyond work-life “integration.” *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2016 Jan;67:515–39.