Semantic Web Technologies in Digital Libraries: A Review of Literature

Dr. Dasari Narayana
Assistant Librarian
Kerala University of Digital Sciences, Innovation and Technology (Digital University Kerala)

M. Harihararao
Library Assistant
MVGR College of Engineering (Autonomous), Vizianagaram, AP

ABSTRACT

The Semantic Web represents a transformative paradigm shift in how information is represented, shared, and processed on the World Wide Web. Digital libraries, as repositories of organised knowledge resources, stand to gain substantially from the adoption of Semantic Web technologies, which enable machines to interpret and reason over data in contextually meaningful ways. This paper presents a comprehensive review of literature on the integration of Semantic Web technologies into digital library systems, covering publications up to December 2021. Drawing on foundational works by Berners-Lee and subsequent researchers, as well as domain-specific investigations in library and information science, the paper examines key enabling technologies such as the Resource Description Framework (RDF), Web Ontology Language (OWL), SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language, linked data principles, and ontology-based knowledge representation. The review identifies patterns of adoption, persistent challenges, and emergent opportunities. The study follows a systematic desk-research methodology, synthesising evidence from ten peer-reviewed and authoritative sources. The paper concludes that while significant theoretical and prototype developments exist, institutional adoption in digital libraries remains uneven and calls for targeted capacity-building, standardised metadata interoperability frameworks, and further empirical investigation in diverse library contexts.

Keywords: Semantic Web; Digital Libraries; Linked Data; Resource Description Framework (RDF); Ontology

I. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the World Wide Web from a system of human-readable hyperlinked documents toward a machine-interpretable "Web of Data" has profound implications for information management across every sector. Digital libraries, which occupy a central role in the creation, organisation, preservation, and dissemination of scholarly and cultural heritage resources, are particularly poised to benefit from this evolution. The Semantic Web, a term originally coined by Tim Berners-Lee, James Hendler, and Ora Lassila in 2001, envisions an extension of the existing Web in which information is endowed with well-defined meaning, enabling computers and humans to work in greater cooperation. When applied to digital library environments, Semantic Web technologies promise to move beyond keyword-based search toward genuine semantic discovery, enhanced interoperability across distributed collections, and richer contextual relationships among resources.

Despite decades of foundational research and numerous prototype implementations, the full integration of Semantic Web technologies into operational digital library systems remains an ongoing challenge. This paper seeks to map the intellectual terrain of that challenge by reviewing the key literature, identifying the principal technologies involved, exploring their application to digital libraries, and drawing conclusions relevant to researchers and practitioners in library and information science.

1.1. Concept of Web Technologies, Semantic Web Technology, and Digital Libraries

Web Technologies:

Web technologies collectively refer to the suite of standards, protocols, and programming tools that underpin the design, development, and maintenance of resources on the World Wide Web. These include the HyperText Markup Language (HTML) for document structure, the HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP) for communication, Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) for presentation, and a variety of scripting and server-side languages. The evolution of the Web has proceeded through several conceptual generations: Web 1.0, characterised by static documents and one-way information flow; Web 2.0, defined by user-generated content, interactivity, and social networking; and the emerging Web 3.0 or Semantic Web, aimed at machine-understandable data and intelligent agents.

Semantic Web Technology:

Semantic Web technology constitutes a family of interoperable standards recommended primarily by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), designed to enable data sharing

and reuse across application, enterprise, and community boundaries. The principal components of this technology stack include: (i) the Resource Description Framework (RDF), a graph-based data model for representing information about Web resources using subject-predicate-object triples; (ii) RDF Schema (RDFS), which provides a vocabulary description language for RDF data; (iii) the Web Ontology Language (OWL), which enables the definition of ontologiesformal, shared conceptualisations of a domainand supports automated reasoning; (iv) the SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL), which provides a standardised mechanism for querying RDF data stores; and (v) linked data principles, which guide the publication and interlinking of structured data on the Web using HTTP URIs and RDF. Collectively, these technologies form the infrastructure for a global, interoperable knowledge graph.

Digital Libraries:

Digital libraries are organised collections of digital objectsincluding text, images, audio, video, and datasetstogether with the methods and tools required to create, store, organise, preserve, retrieve, and provide access to those objects. They differ from traditional libraries not merely in the medium of their collections but in the modes of access, the scalability of their operations, and the potential for machine-assisted processing of their contents. Well-known digital library initiatives include the ACM Digital Library, IEEE Xplore, JSTOR, Project Gutenberg, the Digital Public Library of America (DPLA), Europeana, and institutional repositories running systems such as DSpace and EPrints. In the Indian context, INFLIBNET's Shodhganga and Shodhsindhu, as well as DELNET, represent significant digital library infrastructure.

1.2. Need and Scope of the Study

The exponential growth of digital information in library systemscompounded by increasing interdisciplinarity in scholarship and the expectations of digital-native users accustomed to intelligent search enginescreates an urgent need to move beyond traditional catalogue-based retrieval. Classical metadata schemas such as Dublin Core and MARC 21, while widely implemented, are insufficient for capturing the rich semantic relationships among resources, authors, concepts, and contexts. Semantic Web technologies offer a compelling solution by enabling libraries to publish their metadata as linked open data, align disparate vocabularies through ontological mappings, and support federated search across distributed repositories.

The scope of this study is bounded by peer-reviewed and authoritative publications in the English language, addressing theoretical frameworks, prototype systems, and empirical evaluations of Semantic Web technologies applied within digital library contexts. The

study encompasses works published from the foundational period (1999) through December 2021, providing a longitudinal perspective on the development of this research domain. Geographic and institutional boundaries are not imposed; the review is global in scope, with particular attention to works of direct relevance to library and information science research and practice.

1.3. Objectives of the Study

The study is guided by the following two objectives:

- To identify and critically analyse the principal Semantic Web technologies that have been applied to digital library systems, examining their theoretical foundations, implementation frameworks, and reported outcomes up to December 2021.
- To synthesise the existing body of literature on Semantic Web integration in digital libraries, identifying key themes, persistent challenges, and directions for future research and practice.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The following ten reviews cover foundational and domain-specific publications pertinent to Semantic Web technologies in digital libraries, spanning the period from 1999 to December 2021. Each review is accompanied by its original URL or DOI.

Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., & Lassila, O. (2001).

This seminal article introduced the concept of the Semantic Web to the broader scientific and technical community, articulating a vision for a Web in which information is given well-defined meaning so that computers and humans can work cooperatively. Berners-Lee and colleagues described a layered architecture comprising XML for data interchange, RDF for resource description, and ontologies for shared conceptual frameworks, with the goal of enabling intelligent agents to navigate the Web autonomously on behalf of users. In the context of digital libraries, this foundational paper established the theoretical underpinning for all subsequent work on semantic enrichment of library metadata, federated search, and automated knowledge discovery. The authors' vision directly informed the development of the W3C technology stack and inspired a generation of library and information science researchers to investigate practical applications in catalogue integration, subject access enhancement, and cross-repository interoperability.

Lassila, O., & Swick, R. R. (1999).

The W3C Recommendation authored by Lassila and Swick formalised the Resource Description Framework as the foundation for machine-readable metadata on the Web. RDF adopts a simple yet powerful data model in which any resourcewhether a document, person, concept, or physical objectcan be described by a set of properties and their values, expressed as subject-predicate-object triples. For digital libraries, RDF provided the first standardised mechanism for encoding bibliographic metadata in a form that could be processed, combined, and reasoned about by software agents. The recommendation established the principle that HTTP URIs should serve as globally unique identifiers for resources, a principle that directly underpins the linked data movement and its application to library catalogues. Libraries building on RDF could, for the first time, expose their holdings as structured data interoperable with any other RDF-compliant system, thereby transcending the limitations of proprietary MARC-based formats.

Shadbolt, N., Hall, W., & Berners-Lee, T. (2006).

Writing five years after the original Scientific American article, Shadbolt, Hall, and Berners-Lee assessed the progress made toward the Semantic Web vision and revised expectations in light of practical deployment experience. The authors acknowledged that fully automated intelligent agents remained a distant goal but identified significant advances in ontology engineering, RDF tooling, and early linked data deployments. They distinguished between a "bottom-up" approach driven by the Web 2.0 community (folksonomies, microformats) and a "top-down" approach grounded in formal ontologies, arguing that both had roles to play. For digital libraries, this revisitation was significant because it redirected research attention toward achievable, pragmatic implementationssuch as exposing existing library metadata as RDF and creating lightweight ontological alignments between thesaurirather than waiting for full logical reasoning systems. The paper's influence on the subsequent linked library data movement, which came to fruition through initiatives such as OCLC's linked data publication of WorldCat, is substantial.

Bizer, C., Heath, T., & Berners-Lee, T. (2009).

This widely cited paper systematically described the "linked data" principles that Berners-Lee had enunciated in 2006 and charted their adoption across numerous domains, including government data, bibliographic data, and life sciences. The four linked data principlesusing HTTP URIs as names for things, making URIs dereferenceable, returning RDF when a URI is looked up, and including links to other URIsprovided a practical, low-barrier pathway to the Semantic Web vision. For digital libraries, the paper's

documentation of the Bibliographic Ontology (BIBO), Library of Congress linked data service, and the DBpedia project as a hub for interlinking was particularly instructive. It established a concrete architectural pattern whereby library catalogues could be progressively migrated from opaque database records to openly interlinked datasets forming part of the global Web of Data. The paper remains a landmark reference for library professionals and technologists pursuing linked open library data projects.

Candela, L., Castelli, D., Pagano, P., Thanos, C., Ioannidis, Y., Koutrika, G., Ross, S., Schek, H.-J., & Schuldt, H. (2007).

The DELOS Manifesto, produced by the DELOS Network of Excellence on Digital Libraries, offered a comprehensive conceptual reference model for digital libraries that remains influential in both research and system design. The model distinguished between a Digital Library, a Digital Library System, and a Digital Library Management System, identifying six core domains: content, user, functionality, policy, quality, and architecture. Crucially, the manifesto highlighted interoperability as a defining challenge and identified semantic interoperabilitythe ability of systems to exchange data with unambiguous meaningas the most demanding and important dimension. This framing directly motivated subsequent research into ontology-based approaches for aligning digital library metadata schemas. The paper is foundational for researchers wishing to understand where Semantic Web technologies fit within the broader digital library architecture and why semantic enrichment is not merely a desirable feature but a structural requirement of next-generation digital library systems.

Kruk, S. R., & McDaniel, B. (Eds.). (2009).

This edited volume represents the most comprehensive single collection of research on the intersection of Semantic Web technologies and digital libraries published in the period under review. Contributions cover ontology design for digital libraries, the JeromeDL semantic digital library systemwhich implemented FOAF, SIOC, and SKOS to enrich bibliographic recordssemantic annotation tools, trust and social networking in scholarly communication, and evaluation methodologies for semantic retrieval. The editors articulate a vision of "semantic digital libraries" in which resources are not merely catalogued but contextually linked to related concepts, authors, institutions, and events through formal ontological relationships. The volume is particularly valuable for its demonstration that Semantic Web technologies can be integrated into operational library systems without complete redesign of existing infrastructure, a point of significant practical relevance. Its documentation of the JeromeDL prototype provides a reproducible blueprint for research groups wishing to investigate semantic library implementations.

Heath, T., & Bizer, C. (2011).

Heath and Bizer's synthesis lecture provided the first book-length treatment of linked data principles, architecture, and application patterns, significantly lowering the barrier for practitioners to understand and implement linked data systems. The work addressed both the technical layer (RDF serialisation formats, content negotiation, URI design) and the application layer (dataset description, provenance, quality). For digital library practitioners, the book's chapters on publishing linked data and consuming linked data offered actionable guidance for transforming library catalogues into linked datasets. The authors used real-world examples from library domainsincluding the British National Bibliography published as linked data and the Virtual International Authority File (VIAF)to ground abstract principles in concrete implementations. This work became a standard reference in library technology curricula and significantly accelerated the linked library data movement that gathered momentum between 2011 and 2021.

Fox, E. A., & Urs, S. R. (2002).

Fox and Urs produced one of the most systematic reviews of digital library research available in the Annual Review of Information Science and Technology series, covering developments in digital library definition, content representation, metadata, access mechanisms, preservation, evaluation, and social dimensions. The review mapped the landscape of metadata standards in use at the timeDublin Core, MARC, TEI, EAD, and othersand identified the lack of semantic interoperability among these standards as a central impediment to federated search and knowledge discovery across distributed collections. The authors explicitly identified the potential of emerging Semantic Web technologies, particularly RDF, to address these interoperability gaps. The comprehensiveness of this review makes it an essential baseline for understanding the state of digital library research prior to the major phase of Semantic Web adoption, and its identification of semantic interoperability as a priority problem provides direct motivation for the decade-long research program that followed.

Allemang, D., & Hendler, J. (2011).

Allemang and Hendler's practitioner-oriented text filled a critical gap in the Semantic Web literature by providing a technically rigorous yet accessible treatment of RDFS and OWL ontology modelling. The second edition, published in 2011, incorporated advances in OWL 2 and expanded the treatment of SPARQL queries and ontology design patterns. For digital library professionals, the book's modelling case studiesincluding the use of SKOS for publishing thesauri, the alignment of multiple controlled vocabularies, and the representation of temporal and spatial relationships among cultural heritage

resourcesoffered directly transferable guidance. The chapter on OWL reasoning demonstrated how inference engines could automatically classify library resources, suggest related items, and detect inconsistencies in cataloguing, capabilities that went substantially beyond what was achievable with purely procedural database approaches. The work remains a foundational reference for library ontology engineers and for graduate curricula in information systems.

Hyvönen, E. (2012).

Hyvönen's synthesis lecture addressed the application of Semantic Web and linked data technologies specifically to cultural heritage institutionslibraries, archives, and museumsdrawing extensively on the Finnish Semantic Web infrastructure and the CultureSampo portal. The work introduced the concept of a "national semantic web of cultural heritage," in which distributed collections from different institutions are interlinked through shared ontologies and published as linked open data accessible via a single portal. Key contributions include the CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model (CRM) for cultural heritage, the FinnONTO ontology project, and the design of user interfaces capable of navigating linked cultural heritage resources. For digital libraries, the case study-rich approach provided a replicable model for semantic enrichment of heterogeneous collections, cross-institutional aggregation, and semantically powered faceted search. The work demonstrates that Semantic Web technologies are not merely theoretically compelling but practically deployable at national scale, offering a model directly relevant to large-scale digital library infrastructure projects.

III. METHODOLOGY

This paper adopts a systematic desk-research methodology grounded in the principles of narrative literature review, as appropriate for a conceptual synthesis study in library and information science. The methodology comprised the following phases:

Phase 1 Research Problem Formulation: The study problem was defined as the identification, characterisation, and synthesis of Semantic Web technologies as applied to digital library systems, with a temporal boundary of December 2021.

Phase 2Source Identification: Literature was identified through searches of major bibliographic databases and repositories including Scopus, Web of Science, ACM Digital Library, IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar, and the D-Lib Magazine archive. Search strings combined terms such as "Semantic Web", "linked data", "RDF", "OWL", "SPARQL", "ontology", "digital library", "library metadata", and "semantic interoperability". The W3C website was searched directly for foundational technical specifications.

Phase 3 Screening and Selection: Sources were screened for relevance, quality, and date of publication. Inclusion criteria required that each source (a) directly addressed Semantic Web technologies in a digital library context or provided a foundational technical framework upon which library applications were built, (b) was published in a peer-reviewed venue or as an authoritative technical specification, and (c) was available with a verifiable DOI or stable URL. Ten sources meeting all criteria were selected for detailed review, representing a range of publication types (journal articles, edited monographs, synthesis lectures, and W3C recommendations) and a chronological spread from 1999 to 2012.

Phase 4 Data Extraction and Synthesis: Each selected source was read in full and critically analysed. Key themes, technological components, application domains, methodological approaches, and conclusions were extracted systematically. Findings were synthesised thematically to produce the discussion presented in Section IV.

Phase 5 Quality Assurance: All cited DOIs and URLs were verified for correctness at the time of writing. APA 7th Edition citation style was applied consistently throughout.

IV. CONCEPT AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of the reviewed literature reveals a coherent evolutionary narrative and a set of cross-cutting themes that define the relationship between Semantic Web technologies and digital libraries. The following stepwise discussion traces this narrative and draws substantive inferences at each stage.

Step 1: The Foundational Vision and Its Library Implications

The 2001 article by Berners-Lee, Hendler, and Lassila established the conceptual framework within which all subsequent Semantic Web research has operated. The vision of a machine-interpretable Web, populated by intelligent agents capable of retrieving and reasoning over distributed data, was of immediate relevance to library and information science because libraries had always been fundamentally in the business of organising information for retrieval. The Semantic Web offered, for the first time, an architecture capable of automating aspects of that organisation at Web scale.

Inference 1: The Semantic Web vision is inherently aligned with the mission of digital libraries. Libraries that adopt its enabling technologies are not departing from their core mission but extending it into the digital networked environment.

Step 2: RDF as the Data Foundation

The formalisation of RDF by Lassila and Swick (1999) provided the indispensable data model upon which Semantic Web-enabled digital libraries are built. By encoding

bibliographic metadata as RDF triples, library systems gained the ability to publish their holdings as interoperable, linkable datasets. Early adopters included the Library of Congress, which published its subject headings and name authority files as linked data, and OCLC, which began exposing WorldCat records in RDF.

Inference 2: RDF adoption in digital libraries does not require replacement of existing systems. Libraries can begin by serialising existing metadata as RDF, progressively enriching it with ontological links as capacity develops.

Step 3: Ontologies and Knowledge Organisation

The application of OWL ontologiesas detailed in Allemang and Hendler (2011)enabled digital libraries to move beyond flat metadata schemas toward richly structured knowledge representations. Ontologies such as SKOS (Simple Knowledge Organisation System) provided a W3C-standardised vocabulary for representing thesauri, classification schemes, and authority files in RDF, enabling the Machine-readable linkage of, for example, the Library of Congress Subject Headings with the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) or Dewey Decimal Classification.

Inference 3: Ontology-based knowledge organisation dramatically enhances the precision and recall of digital library retrieval systems and creates the infrastructure for cross-domain knowledge discovery.

Step 4: Linked Data as the Deployment Architecture

The linked data principles articulated by Bizer, Heath, and Berners-Lee (2009) and detailed by Heath and Bizer (2011) provided the practical deployment architecture through which digital libraries could contribute to the Web of Data. By publishing catalogue records with dereferenceable URIs, libraries enabled their data to be consumed, enriched, and linked by external agents, transforming the library catalogue from a siloed institutional tool into a node in a global knowledge graph.

Inference 4: Publishing library data as linked open data is the most impactful single action that digital libraries can take to improve discoverability, reusability, and long-term relevance of their collections in the networked information environment.

Step 5: Semantic Digital Library Systems

The work of Kruk and McDaniel (2009) demonstrated that Semantic Web technologies could be assembled into functional digital library systems, not merely applied as metadata overlays. The JeromeDL system exemplified the integration of social networking ontologies (FOAF, SIOC), bibliographic ontologies (BIBO), and subject classification

systems (SKOS) in a unified platform that supported personalised recommendation, collaborative annotation, and semantically powered search.

Inference 5: Prototype semantic digital library systems have established the technical feasibility of the approach. The research challenge has shifted from "can it be done?" to "how can it be institutionally adopted at scale?"

Step 6: Cultural Heritage and Large-Scale Deployment

Hyvönen (2012) demonstrated that Semantic Web technologies could be deployed not merely in single-institution prototypes but in national-scale cultural heritage aggregation systems. The Finnish CultureSampo portal, connecting museums, libraries, and archives through shared ontologies, showed that the technical and organisational challenges of federated semantic systems were surmountable and yielded significantly richer user experiences than siloed catalogue interfaces.

Inference 6: Large-scale semantic cultural heritage portals demonstrate the transformative potential of Semantic Web technologies for digital libraries at the national and international level, pointing the way toward the type of semantic aggregation infrastructure that initiatives such as Europeana and the Digital Public Library of America have subsequently pursued.

Step 7: Structural Requirements of Digital Library Systems

The DELOS Manifesto (Candela et al., 2007) situates Semantic Web technologies within a broader architectural understanding of digital library systems, identifying semantic interoperability as a structural requirement rather than an optional enhancement. This framing suggests that digital libraries designed without semantic interoperability capabilities will face increasing marginalisation as the Web of Data grows and user expectations evolve.

Inference 7: Semantic interoperability should be treated as a non-negotiable architectural requirement in digital library system design and procurement, not as a future-phase enhancement.

Step 8: Persistent Challenges and Future Directions

Across the reviewed literature, several persistent challenges recur: (i) the high cost and expertise required for ontology engineering; (ii) the tension between the expressivity of formal ontologies and the scalability of reasoning over large data sets; (iii) the heterogeneity of existing library metadata and the difficulty of automated schema mapping; (iv) the lack of institutional incentives and technical capacity in many library systems; and (v) the maintenance overhead associated with keeping linked data URIs

dereferenceable over time. The literature through 2021 identifies machine learning and natural language processing as potentially transformative tools for automating semantic annotation and ontology population, while initiatives such as schema.org have lowered the barrier to lightweight semantic markup of library web pages.

Inference 8: Future research should prioritise the development of automated, low-cost semantic enrichment tools calibrated for library metadata, the evaluation of semantic digital library systems in non-Western and under-resourced institutional contexts, and the integration of Semantic Web and artificial intelligence approaches for next-generation knowledge discovery.

V. CONCLUSION

This review has traced the development of Semantic Web technologies and their progressive application to digital library systems from the foundational RDF specification of 1999 through the rich body of research accumulated by December 2021. The evidence drawn from ten authoritative sources converges on a consistent finding: Semantic Web technologiesRDF, OWL, SPARQL, linked data, and ontology-based knowledge organisationconstitute a theoretically coherent and practically deployable framework for addressing the most enduring challenges confronting digital libraries, namely semantic interoperability, federated search across distributed collections, and context-sensitive knowledge discovery.

Prototype and operational implementations reviewed in this study, from the JeromeDL semantic digital library to the Finnish CultureSampo portal, demonstrate that the technical feasibility of semantic digital libraries is well established. The principal remaining barriers are institutional, organisational, and economic rather than technical. Libraries must develop the human capacity to work with Semantic Web technologies, establish the organisational processes for maintaining linked data infrastructure, and build the inter-institutional collaborations necessary for realising the full potential of the Web of Data.

In the Indian context, where digital library infrastructure is rapidly expanding through initiatives such as INFLIBNET, Shodhganga, and DELNET, the adoption of Semantic Web technologies offers a particularly significant opportunity to enhance the discoverability and usability of scholarly resources. As the NAAC's emphasis on digital library infrastructure intensifies, aligning technical development with Semantic Web standards will ensure that Indian digital libraries are positioned as active contributors to and beneficiaries of the global Web of Data.

Future research should address the empirical evaluation of Semantic Web implementations in operational library environments, the development of lightweight

ontological frameworks suited to institutional repositories in resource-constrained settings, and the integration of AI-based semantic annotation with linked data publication pipelines. The present review provides a consolidated bibliographic foundation for such investigations.

VI. REFERENCES

1. Allemang, D., & Hendler, J. (2011). *Semantic Web for the working ontologist: Effective modeling in RDFS and OWL* (2nd ed.). Morgan Kaufmann. https://www.sciencedirect.com/book/9780123859655
2. Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., & Lassila, O. (2001). The Semantic Web. *Scientific American*, *284*(5), 34–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0501-34
3. Bizer, C., Heath, T., & Berners-Lee, T. (2009). Linked dataThe story so far. *International Journal on Semantic Web and Information Systems, 5*(3), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.4018/jswis.2009081901
4. Candela, L., Castelli, D., Pagano, P., Thanos, C., Ioannidis, Y., Koutrika, G., Ross, S., Schek, H.-J., & Schuldt, H. (2007). Setting the foundations of digital libraries: The DELOS manifesto. *D-Lib Magazine, 13*(3/4). https://doi.org/10.1045/march2007-candela
5. Fox, E. A., & Urs, S. R. (2002). Digital libraries. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, 36*(1), 503–589. https://doi.org/10.1002/aris.1440360112
6. Heath, T., & Bizer, C. (2011). *Linked data: Evolving the web into a global data space*. Morgan & Claypool. https://doi.org/10.2200/S00334ED1V01Y201102WBE001
7. Hyvönen, E. (2012). *Publishing and using cultural heritage linked data on the Semantic Web*. Morgan & Claypool. https://doi.org/10.2200/S00452ED1V01Y201210WBE003
8. Kruk, S. R., & McDaniel, B. (Eds.). (2009). *Semantic digital libraries*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85434-0
9. Lassila, O., & Swick, R. R. (1999). *Resource Description Framework (RDF) model and syntax specification*. W3C Recommendation. https://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-rdf-syntax-19990222/
10. Shadbolt, N., Hall, W., & Berners-Lee, T. (2006). The Semantic Web revisited. *IEEE Intelligent Systems, 21*(3), 96–101. https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2006.62