A Comprehensive Study on Transformer Design Using Numerical Techniques

Sarpreet Kaur

Assistant Professor, University Institute of Engineering and Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

Abstract- The aim of this study was to review the application of finite element techniques for solving complex transformer structures using modern software. The Finite Element Method (FEM), developed over the past 70 years to address intricate problems in civil and aeronautical engineering, has since found valuable applications in electrical engineering for solving complex design challenges. This paper explores the use of FEM in transformer design, highlighting its effectiveness as a numerical tool for simulating structural components, optimizing materials, enhancing reliability, performing failure analysis, taking corrective actions, and verifying new designs under various loading conditions. The study concludes that FEM is a highly efficient approach for transformer design and analysis.

Keywords- Numerical Techniques, Finite Element Method, Transformer Design.

1. Introduction

Transformers are essential, dynamic components within energy systems, and while they are highly efficient, optimizing their design can help reduce energy losses. Once installed and energized, a transformer operates continuously, consuming energy even when the connected load is inactive. These devices are complex, three-dimensional assemblies that consist of the core, windings, insulation, tank, and various accessories. In addition to the electric and magnetic fields, transformers also involve physical fields such as thermal, liquid, and mechanical. Each component must be carefully evaluated to ensure the transformer's reliability and the longevity of the entire system.

Transformers operate based on electromagnetic induction, transferring power between circuits. Despite being stationary, they face numerous challenges that can affect performance. According to data from the High Voltage Testing Research Centre, one in five transformer failures is attributed to weak insulation, which must withstand short-circuit currents. Poor-quality insulation, often the result of cost-cutting by manufacturers, can lead to disruptionsof transformer.

ALOCHANA JOURNAL (ISSN NO:2231-6329) VOLUME 12 ISSUE 12 2023

Design challenges in transformers can be addressed using analytical, numerical, or experimental methods. However, experimental approaches are often costly and time-consuming. Traditionally, analytical methods were employed for transformer design and performance analysis, but these methods have limitations, particularly when dealing with complex geometries. In such cases, engineers rely on numerical methods to provide accurate and reliable solutions. The Finite Element Method (FEM) is a powerful numerical tool used to simulate mechanical components under various loads, enabling material optimization, consistency evaluation, failure analysis, corrective actions, and validation of new designs. FEM, particularly magneto-static FE techniques, has been widely adopted by researchers to analyze transformer performance and address failure modes.

Today, computer simulations have revolutionized transformer design, allowing engineers to optimize parameters like flux density, core diameter, and current density in just minutes. Over time, numerous performance criteria have been developed, and this review provides a thorough examination of recent studies on transformer performance and improvement strategies. Key areas of transformer performance analysis include:

- Assessing short-circuit strength using FEM to evaluate the transformer's ability to withstand short-circuit conditions.
- Studying transformer behavior under short-circuit forces through a combination of numerical simulations and experimental methods.
- Analyzing the effects of inrush currents on high-voltage transformer coils to understand their impact on performance and potential damage.
- Optimizing low- and high-voltage windings and transformer tanks through FEM to enhance efficiency and durability.
- Calculating short-circuit forces within transformers using FEM to accurately predict the forces that occur during fault conditions.
- Developing alternative methods for high-current estimation, utilizing piezoelectric transducers and validating the results with MATLAB/SIMULINK simulations.
- Investigating the impact of hot-spot temperatures on transformer components to identify potential areas of concern for overheating and degradation.
- Applying nonlinear buckling analysis to assess winding deformation under shortcircuit conditions and simulate the cumulative effects over time.

2. Design Related Problems with Transformers

2.1 Magnetic Circuit (Core)

In transformer design, the magnetic circuit is a crucial element. This circuit includes a laminated iron core that facilitates the transfer of flux between the windings. The extent of flux linkage between the windings plays a significant role in determining the transformer's performance. To ensure efficient flux transfer, the transformer core must offer a low-reluctance magnetic path. However, the core faces challenges primarily due to hysteresis and eddy current losses. Hysteresis losses are largely influenced by the core material. Initially, standard steel laminations were used, but by adding 4-5% silicon to the steel, both eddy current and hysteresis losses have been significantly reduced, resulting in improved transformer performance and overall efficiency.

2.2 Inrush Current Phenomenon

When a transformer is switched off, a residual flux remains in the core, typically ranging from 50% to 90% of the full operational flux, depending on the core material and type. The flux generated by the applied source voltage adds to this residual flux within the core. If the transformer draws more current than its rated full-load current, the flux level can remain saturated. The magnitude of the inrush current can vary, ranging from 3.5 to 40 times the rated full-load current, depending on the transformer's design. While the inrush current waveform generally resembles a sine wave, it is significantly distorted in both the positive and negative directions. Factors related to transformer design and installation play a key role in influencing the magnitude of inrush current, making it crucial to accurately calculate both its value and the contributing factors.

2.3 Overfluxing

Transformers are designed to keep the magnetizing flux below saturation under normal operating conditions. However, over fluxing can occur due to factors overvoltage and low frequency. Transformers are intended to operate at or below a maximum flux density in the core to minimize eddy current losses. The magnetic flux in the core is directly proportional to the voltage applied to the winding and inversely proportional to the winding's impedance, meaning that flux increases with higher voltage or lower frequency. When the transformer becomes overexcited, it enters a non-linear magnetic state, generating harmonic components in the

excitation current. A key indicator of over excitation is an increase in current at the fifth harmonic. To prevent these undesirable effects, it is essential to carefully consider over fluxing parameters during transformer design.

2.4 Impedance Characteristics

The leakage impedance of a transformer is a critical specification that plays a significant role in its design. Each transformer is designed with a specific leakage impedance level, and deviating from this specified range can lead to substantial costs. To accurately determine the leakage impedance, both analytical and numerical methods are available for calculation.

2.5 Stray Losses

Stray losses arise from the leakage field around transformer windings, affecting both the windings and structural components like the frame, tank, and bushings. Accurate estimation of all stray loss components is crucial for effective transformer design. Stray loss calculations rely on principles of eddy currents originating from electromagnetic fields.

2.6 Short-Circuit Stresses

Short-circuit events are a major cause of transformer failure. Under normal conditions, the windings are surrounded by magnetic flux, which creates electromagnetic forces that induce mechanical stress on the transformer structure. During a short circuit, these forces intensify and can deform the transformer.

3. Numerical and Analytical Techniques for Transformer Design

Extensive research has been conducted on various aspects of transformer design, modeling, protection, and analysis. Numerical and computational methods are employed to evaluate transformer performance and accurately predict characteristics. Transformer parameters such as load losses and short-circuit impedance are intrinsic to operations and are optimized throughout the design process. These parameters are influenced by leakage flux between windings, which generates eddy current losses in conductive structures such as windings, core laminations, clamping structures, and tank walls. Researchers have investigated flux distribution and its impact on transformer losses.

Both numerical and analytical methods have been proposed for transformer design, with experimental methods often supplementing these approaches to produce accurate transformer models. Improved efficiency is achieved by reducing no-load and load losses, which, though typically less than 0.5% in large power transformers, can cause localized heating. Accurate calculation of these losses is essential for effective transformer design and reliability improvements.

3.1 Core Design

To minimize core losses, researchers have examined core materials, types, and characteristics. Studies, such as those by Swift G.W., investigated core loss factors including frequency effects and air gaps. Valkovic Z. analyzed different core configurations and the impact of joint design on flux distribution, while Loffler et al. showed that multistep-lap (MSL) joints reduce power losses compared to single-step-lap (SSL) joints. Research has also explored various stacking patterns of C.R.G.O. silicon steel laminations to reduce core losses in power transformers.

Core losses and eddy current losses both significantly impact overall transformer losses. Krawczyk and Turowski demonstrated the importance of analyzing eddy currents in electric devices, while other researchers have used simulations to study electromagnetic fields and current distribution in transformer windings. Kulkarni and Khaparde focused on accurately estimating and controlling stray losses, and Olivares et al. reviewed transformer loss issues related to core joints and stray losses.

3.2 Short-Circuit Forces

Short-circuit forces, including axial and radial forces, build up in transformer windings during a short-circuit event. Modeling transformers in 3D cylindrical coordinates allows analysis of electromagnetic forces, which can include radial, axial, and twisting components. Recent research, such as the work by Ashfaq Ahmad et al., aims to guide transformer manufacturers in designing transformers that withstand dynamic short-circuit stresses.

In 2015, Deepika Bhalla et al. conducted a short-circuit force analysis on helical windings using the Finite Element Method (FEM) to examine axial force characteristics and identify stress-prone regions. Findings revealed that axial forces, especially at the start of the LV winding, cause

conductor bending, while forces at the end of the LV winding produce vibrations that may damage insulation. In contrast, axial forces in the HV winding, secured with wire, had lesser effects. The simulation results show flux density under short-circuit conditions for transformer windings with and without tap changers, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b).



Fig. 1. Flux density plot (a) without tapping, (b) with tapping

Hyun-Mo Ahn et al.studied the short circuit forces utilizing numerical method suggests a Finite Element analysis of the transformer components individually by 2011 [31]. The momentary electromagnetic forces, the magnetic flux densities and the magnetic vector potential, are computed by the finite element system with 3-D representation of the transformer. axial forces respectively. A power transformer winding design is as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Electromagnetic force and leakage flux in concentric windings

ALOCHANA JOURNAL (ISSN NO:2231-6329) VOLUME 12 ISSUE 12 2023

In 2001, H. Wang and K. L. Butler conducted a finite element (FE) analysis on internal winding faults in distribution transformers, concluding that 70–80% of modern power transformer failures result from turn-to-turn short circuits. They developed a low-cost, online diagnostic technique for detecting internal winding faults in distribution transformers by monitoring terminal behavior. For their investigation, they used the Maxwell Spice embedded tool to simulate a test structure, modeling the behavior of a defective transformer within the Maxwell software environment.

In related work from 2000, Sheppard Salon and colleagues analyzed the forces on the coils of a single-phase shell-type transformer using the FE method. They observed that, in an ideally aligned transformer, the net axial force is zero since the electrical centers of the windings are balanced. However, if the electrical centers are misaligned, opposing axial forces emerge in the low-voltage (LV) and high-voltage (HV) windings. During short-circuit conditions, these forces can become strong enough to cause axial displacement between the LV and HV windings. They compared results from 2D and 3D analyses and found good alignment between both methods. However, a 3D model was essential to capture asymmetries outside the window area, which are not possible to analyze with a 2D model.

3.3 Hot-Spot Temperatures

In 2016, Serguei Maximov et al. developed an analytical approach to derive a formula for calculating temperature distribution in transformer tanks. This formula is both effective and accurate, helping mitigate unwanted thermal issues in transformer tanks and high-temperature rise in bushing plates. It also provides a general formula to determine temperature distribution in transformer covers due to losses.

In 2015, Longnv Li et al. introduced a 3D magnetic-thermal coupling approach combined with CFD calculations to evaluate hot-spot temperature rise. The two primary factors affecting hotspot temperature in power transformer components are electromagnetic stray losses and the mean external convection heat transfer coefficient. To accurately define stray loss density distribution, magnetic-thermal coupling is commonly used to calculate hot-spot temperature rise. Figure 3 illustrates the temperature distribution in the tank, while Figure 5 shows the temperature distribution on the yoke clamps.



Fig. 3. Computed temperature distribution in the tank.

3.4 Inrush Current Phenomena

In 2014, Steven Hodder et al. explored transformer differential protection under magnetizing inrush conditions with low levels of second harmonics. They proposed a novel method for inrush current detection that greatly enhances protection security. In 2008, Jawad Faiz et al. examined the electromechanical forces on transformer windings caused by short-circuit and inrush currents using finite-element analysis. Their study found that, in certain areas, the forces on windings due to inrush currents can exceed those caused by short circuits. Figure 4 illustrates the inrush current waveform in the HV windings.



Fig. 6. Inrush current in the HV winding

In 2002, Michael Steurer and Klaus Fröhlich in [38] calculated the forces occur due to short circuit and compare it qualitatively. FEM model has also been arranged to verify the results obtained with the 2-D and 3-Drepresentation. The calculated forces proved that the inrush current appears to be more than 60% of the rated Short Circuit current. Inrush current is

compared with short circuit current with the help of 2-D and 3-D model. The summary of various methods reviewed is mentioned in TABLE 1.

Ref.	Analysis of	Techniques	Software	Effect on	Advantage of the
No	transformer based			transformer	analysis
	on				
[1]-[3]	SHORT CIRCUIT FORCE	Coupled electromechan ical finite- element method	ANSOFT's Maxwell Software, Embedded Maxwell Spice and ANSYS 8.1.	 ✓ Mechanic al damages of windings. ✓ Transform er may explode. ✓ Cause vibrations or 	 ✓ Analysis prevents the damage of windings. ✓ Improve the efficiency of transformer.
[4]-[8]	SHORT CIRCUIT FORCE	Finite Element method and Analytical method	FEMM software.	instability in the system.	
[9]	TEMPERATURE RISE	FEM analysis andAnalytical formula	*	✓ Causes heating and restrict the compactness.	 ✓ Provide compact and improved design of Transformers.
[10]	TEMPERATURE RISE	Magnetic- thermal coupling 3- Dimensional analysis with CFD calculation	*	 ✓ Reduce the useful life of Transformer. ✓ Increases the operating cost. 	 ✓ Protects the overall system.

Table 1: Summary of various methods reviewed.

[11]	HIGH	Circuit–Field	COMSOL	✓	Normal	✓	Helps in er	nergy
	TEMPERATURE	coupled			performance of		saving	and
	SUPERCONDUCT	method			HTS		reduction	of
	ORS				transformer		operating c	ost.
					gets disturbed	✓	High	
					by forces		efficiency	
				√	Quench	~	Size	blue
					phenomenon	Ĭ	become 1/	3 or
					and insulation		1/2 of	the
					hreeltdewr		1/2 01	
							Transformer	ai
					may occur.		Transforme	er
						V	Lower lo	osses
							and	less
[12]-	HIGH	Finite element	Flux2D software				heating	18
[14]	TEMPERATURE	method					produced	
	SUPERCONDUCT							
	ORS							
[15]	HIGH	OLTC	*					
	TEMPERATURE							
	SUPERCONDUCT							
	ORS							

[16]-	BUILD UP EFFECT	3-D Finite	Coupling of ANSYS and	✓ Plastic	✓ Accurate
[17]		element	Maxwell software	deformation	design of
		method		causes frequent	Transformer
				damages to the	could be
				windings.	developed.
				✓ Most	
				serious	
				problems for	
				failure of power	
				T/F.	
<u>[10]</u>	WINDING	Artificial	ΜΑΤΙ ΑΡ	1 Insulation	V Detection of the
[10]-		Altificial	MAILAD		• Detection of the
[21]		neural		degradation is	winding inter
	FAULTS	network		accelerated by	turn fault at
		(ANN)		the localized	early stage
				thermal	protects the
				overloading in	Transformer.
				the shorted	
				region.	
				✓ Causes	
				irreversible	
				damage to the	
				transformer.	

[22]-	INRUSH	Time-stepping	ANSOFT Maxwell	✓ Inrush	✓ Could protect
[24]	CURRENT	finite-element	software	currents cause	from temporary
		method		serious damage	shutdown of the
		(TSFEM).		to T/F.Axial	transformer in
				force due to	operation
				inrush is larger	
				than SC	
				currents.	
[25]	PIEZOELECTRIC	Piezoelectric	MATLAB/SIMULINK	✓ Till now also	✓ Miniaturization
[]	MATERIAL	effect		PZT can	✓ No EM noise
		chiect		operate only at	✓ High
				low power	efficiency.
				levels, up to	
				few 100 watts.	
[26]	PIEZOELECTRIC	Finite-element	*	1	
	MATERIAL	method and			
		Heat Transfer			
		Analysis			
		5			

4. Results and Discussions

Earlier researchers used analytical methods for transformer design and performance analysis, but these approaches have limitations when applied to complex geometries. For issues involving intricate geometries, boundary conditions, and varied material properties, designers rely on numerical techniques like finite element analysis (FEA), which provides accurate solutions. FEA is widely used for failure analysis, enhancing reliability, optimizing materials, and validating new designs. A review of literature highlights several methods for improving transformer performance. Main findings of the study are summarized as:

- 1. Optimizing core material, dimensions, and permeability.
- 2. Modifying winding construction.
- 3. Reinforcing structural supports.
- 4. Using alternative winding materials.
- 5. Adopting new winding arrangements.
- 6. Linking electric circuits with magnetic fields.
- 7. Recognizing the impact of localized thermal overloads.

5. Conclusion

The primary objective of this work is to offer a thorough analysis of various performance-related parameters and methods for enhancing transformer performance, with a focus on practices implemented at a single location. This paper reviews and compares different performance analysis techniques, examining their impact on transformer functionality and the advantages of each approach. The use of ANSYS for performance enhancement is found to be on par with traditional methods, thanks to its advanced capabilities. However, there has been limited application of ANSYS in 3D, as most previous studies have utilized 2D methods, such as FEMM or other 2D software. Based on the reviewed studies, it can be concluded that the most effective method for improving the performance of power transformers is 3D finite element analysis using ANSYS.

6. References

- [1] S. V. Kulkarni, S. A. Khaparde, *Transformer Engineering: Design and Practice*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2004.
- [2] G.F. Mechler, and R.S. Girgis, "Magnetic flux distributions in transformer core joints", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 198–203, Jan. 2000.
- [3] R.S. Girgis, E.G. teNijenhuis, K. Gramm and J.E.Wrethag, "Experimental investigations on effect of core production attributes on transformer core loss performance", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 526–531, Apr., 1998.

- [4] A.A. Adly, "Computation of inrush current forces on transformer windings", *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 2855–2857, July 2001.
- [5] ArunPhadke, *Power System Protection*, The Electric Power Engineering Handbook, CRC Press Ltd, 2001.
- [6] W. K. Sonnemann, C. L. Wagner, and G. D. Rockefeller, "Magnetizing inrush phenomena in transformer banks," *Trans. Amer. Inst. Elect. Eng. Power App. Syst.*, vol. 77, no. 3, pt. III, pp. 884–892, Apr., 1958.
- [7] C.E. Lin., C.L. Cheng, C.L. Huang and J.C. Yeh, "Investigation of magnetizing inrush current in transformers: Numerical simulation -Part I", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 246–254, Jan., 1993.
- [8] M.S. Manna and Gurmeet Singh, " 3D FEM Analysis for Overfluxing in Power Transformers", *National Conference on Computational Intelligence for Emerging Electric Power System (CIEEPS06)*, pp. 255-258, 7-8 Sept., 2006.
- [9] R. M. Vecchio, B. Poulin, P. T. Feghali, D. M. Shah, *Transformer Design Principles*, CRC Press, 2010.
- [10] W.H. Hayt, *Engineering Electromagnetics*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 2001.
- [11] J. C. Olivares-Galvan, R. Escarela-Perez, P. S. Georgilakis, I. Fofana, S. Magdaleno-Adame, "A Bibliographic Analysis of Transformer Literature 1990-2000," *Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 96–121, Aug., 2012.
- P. S. Georgilakis, J. C. Olivares-Galvan, M. S. Esparza-Gonzalez, S. Magdaleno-Adame,
 E. Campero-Littlewood, I. Fofana, "A bibliographic analysis of transformer literature 2001-2010," 8th Mediterranean Conference onPower Generation, Transmission, Distribution and Energy Conversion (MEDPOWER 2012), pp. 1-6, 1-3 Oct., 2012.
- [13] A. Khatri, O.P. Rahi, "Optimal design of a transformer: A Compressive Bibliographical Survey," *International Journal of Scientific, Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 159-167, April 2012.
- [14] G.W. Swift, "Excitation current and power loss characteristics for mitered joint power transformer cores", *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, Vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 61,64, Jan 1975
- [15] Z. Valkovic, "Influence of transformer core design on power losses", *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 801-804, March 1982.
- [16] F. Loffler, T. Booth, H. Pfutzner, C. Bengtsson, C. K. Gramm, "Relevance of step-lap joints for magnetic characteristics of transformer cores", *IEE Proceedings on Electric Power Applications*, vol. 142, no. 6, pp. 371-378, Nov., 1995.

- [17] P.S Georgilakis, N.D. Hatziargyriou, A.D. Doulamis, N.D. Doulamis, S.D. Kollias, "A neural network framework for predicting transformer core losses", *Proceedings of the* 21st IEEE International ConferencePower Industry Computer Applications (PICA 99), pp. 301-308, Jul., 1999.
- [18] P.S Georgilakis, N.D. Hatziargyriou, D. Paparigas, J. Bakopoulos, S. Elefsiniotis, "Automatic learning techniques for on-line control and optimization of transformer core manufacturing process," *IEEEThirty-Fourth Conference Record of Industry Applications* (IAS 1999), vol.1, pp.311-322, 1999.
- [19] O. Moreau, R. Michel, T. Chevalier, G. Meunier, M. Joan, J.B. Delcroix, "3-D high frequency computation of transformer R, L parameters", *IEEE Transactions ON Magnetics*, Vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1364-1367, May 2005.
- [20] C. A. Schulz, D. Roger, S. Duchesne, J.N. Vincent, "Experimental Characterization of Interlamination Shorts in Transformer Cores", *IEEE Transactions onMagnetics*, Vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 614-617, Feb., 2010.
- [21] M. Albach, T. Durbaum, A. Brockmeyer, "Calculating core losses in transformers for arbitrary magnetizing currents a comparison of different approaches", 27th Annual IEEEConference Power Electronics Specialists (PESC 96) Record, Vol. 2, pp. 1463-1468, 23-27 Jun., 1996.
- [22] M. Dolinar, D. Dolinar, G. Stumberger, B. Polajzer, J. Ritonja, "A Three-Phase Core-Type Transformer, Iron Core Model With Included Magnetic Cross Saturation", *IEEE Transactions onMagnetics*, Vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 2849-2851, Oct., 2006.
- [23] N. Strangers, R.D. Findlay, "Measurement of rotational iron losses in electrical sheet", *IEEE Transactions onMagnetics*, Vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 3457-3459, Sep., 2000.
- [24] R. Findlay, R. Belmans, D. Mayo, "Influence of the stacking method on the iron losses in power transformer cores", *IEEE Transactions onMagnetics*, Vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1990-1992, Sep.,1990.
- [25] P. Marketos, T. Meydan, "Novel Transformer Core Design Using Consolidated Stacks of Electrical Steel", *IEEE InternationalMagnetics Conference (INTERMAG 2006)*, pp.127, 8-12 May, 2006.
- [26] Ahmad A., Javed I., Nazar W., and Mukhtar A., "Short Circuit Stress Analysis using FEM in Power Transformer on HV Winding Displaced Vertically and Horizontally", Alexandria Engineering Journal, October 2016.

- [27] Geißler D. and Thomas T., "Short-Circuit Strength of Power Transformer Windings-Verification of Tests by a Finite Element Analysis-Based Model", IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 32, No. 4, August 2017.
- [28] Kumbhar G.B. and SatishMahajan S. ; "The effect of distribution of a primary winding on the short-circuit forces of a current transformer", IEEE Conference on Green Energy and Applications (ICGEA), pp. 153 – 157, March 2017.
- [29] Ahmad A., Javed I., Nazar W. "Short Circuit Stress Calculation in Power Transformer Using Finite Element Method on High Voltage Winding Displaced Vertically", International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, Vol. 3, pp. 301-308, Issue 11, November 2013.
- [30] Bhalla D., Bansal R.K, Gupta H.O, "Analyzing Short Circuit Forces in Transformer with Single Layer Helical LV Winding Using FEM", Proceedings of 2015 RAECS UIET Panjab University Chandigarh, pp. 674-689, 21-22nd December 2015.
- [31] Ahn H.M, Lee J.Y, Kim J.K, Oh Y.H, Jung S.Y, and Hahn S.C, "Finite-Element Analysis of Short-Circuit Electromagnetic Force in Power Transformer", IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, Vol. 47, No. 3, pp. 1267-1272, May/June 2011
- [32] Wang H.and Butler K. L. "Finite Element Analysis of Internal Winding Faults in Distribution Transformers" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 422-428, July 2001.
- [33] Salon S., Lamattina B., and Sivasubramaniam K. "Comparison of Assumptions in Computation of Short Circuit Forces in Transformers" IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. 36, No. 5, pp. 3521-3523, September 2000.
- [34] Maximov S., Escarela-Perez R., Olivares-Galvan J.C, Guzman J., and Campero-Littlewood E., "New Analytical Formula for Temperature Assessment on Transformer Tanks" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 31, No. 3, pp. 1122-1131, June 2016.
- [35] Li L., Nia S., Ho S.L., Fu W.N., and Li Y., "A Novel Approach to Investigate the Hot-Spot Temperature Rise in Power Transformers", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol.51, No.3, pp. 435-443, March 2015.
- [36] Bogdan K., Normann F., and Yu X., "Low Second-Harmonic Content in Transformer Inrush Currents – Analysis and Practical Solutions for Protection Security" Southern African Power System Protection Conference, pp. 213-219, November 2014.
- [37] Faiz J., Ebrahimi B. M., and Noori T., "Three and Two-Dimensional Finite-Element Computation of Inrush current and Short-Circuit Electromagnetic Forces on Windings of a

Three-Phase Core-Type Power Transformer", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 590-597, May 2008.

- [38] Steurer M., Member, IEEE, and Fröhlich K, "The Impact of Inrush Currents on the Mechanical Stress of High Voltage Power Transformer Coils" IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp.155-160, January 2002.
- [39] S. H. Khan, J. M. El-Shawish, L. Finkelstein, and K. T. V. Grattan, "Finite element modelling of saturation and eddy current effects in commercial variable transformers", *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 2783–2786, July 2001.
- [40] H. Wang and K. L. Butler, "Finite element analysis of internal winding faults in distribution transformers", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 422-428, July 2001.